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LEARNING OBJECTIVES

1. Participants will learn to systematically assess suicide risk through conducting the CRSS
2. Participants will identify at least 5 risk and protective factors related to suicidal ideation and behaviors.
3. Participants will be able to conduct an SPI-- an evidence based approach to managing suicide risk

Before we begin...

• People in on this webinar have lived experience
  • Know someone who died by suicide
  • Have experienced thoughts of suicide or have a suicide behavior history – or know someone who has.
  • Let’s have an honest conversation, but also be aware and respectful!

• Language is powerful!
  • NO: “committed suicide” or “killed themselves” → alludes to criminal or immoral view of suicide (e.g. “committed a crime” or “killed someone”)
    • Perceived as blaming and stigmatizing
  • YES: “died by suicide” or “suicide death”
    • Factual and similar to how we discuss other illnesses (e.g. “died from cancer”)
Outline for Today

• “Proactive” Suicide Risk Management
  • Initial and ongoing risk assessment (e.g. CSSRS, SBQ-R, ASQ)
  • Proactive interventions - Psychoeducation, Safety planning intervention (SPI)

• “Reactive” Suicide Risk Management
  • Crisis Management, including Safety Planning and increased monitoring

Suicide Risk

• In 2017, 1.4 million US adults attempted suicide
  • Attempts are serious and can result in permanent damage or disability.

• 25-31% of individuals will make a second suicide attempt in the 10 years following the first attempt, with the greatest risk (~88%) in the subsequent 2 years. (Tejedor et al., 1999; Gibb et al. 2005; Parra-Uribe et al., 2017)
Suicide Risk in Mental Health

- In general, serious mental illness is associated with elevated suicide risk
- For these disorders, rates vary between 8-15% for death by suicide
- Suicide is the 10th leading cause of death in US
  - In 2017, there were 47,000 deaths from suicide = 1 death every 11 minutes
- 2nd leading cause of death among individuals ages 10-34 years
- These are premature and preventable deaths

https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/suicide/fastfact.html

Suicide in Schizophrenia

- Of individuals with schizophrenia, 25-50% attempt suicide, which is serious and can result in permanent damage or disability (Cassidy et al., 2018).
  - Many individuals make repeated attempts
  - 5% die by suicide
- More likely in first year of illness, but risk is ongoing throughout illness (Ventriglio et al., 2016; Haining et al., 2020)
  - 34-90% of clinical high risk individuals report suicidal ideation, 70+% FEP report SI
  - Longer DUP = increased risk
- Early identification and intervention should focus on suicide to reduce rates (Kurdyak et al., 2021)
Challenges of Suicide Assessment

• Risk is determined by a variety of factors: biological, psychological, familial, environmental, cultural...
  • Hard to determine which key factors you need to assess

• Risk is not always predictive of behavior
  • Many people have “thoughts” of suicide, but many never attempt

• While most people who make attempts or die by suicide have discussed their suicidal thoughts, most do not tell anyone right before they act.

Key Points in Conducting a Risk Assessment

• Not based on any one risk factor (or set of risk factors)
• Risk and protective factors are assessed together to provide an overall picture
• Identifies factors that are modifiable with intervention
• Identifies and distinguishes between Acute/Proximal risk factors and warning signs from the ongoing, Chronic/Distal risk factors
• Guides treatment decisions
• In an ongoing care situation, risk assessment is not a single event; it must be evaluated over time → risk fluctuates
• Risk assessment supports, does not replace, clinician decision-making
Types of Risk Factors
Proximal vs. Distal vs. Warning Signs

Distal (chronic, background) risk factors
- Lifetime general characteristics or ongoing factors that are known to be associated with an elevated longer term risk for suicide; they exist in the individual’s background

- Examples:
  - Male
  - Suicide attempt 10 years ago;
  - Family history of suicide

Distal/Background Variables
- Demographics
- Aggression/Impulsivity
- Cognitive Inflexibility & Poor Decision making
- Head Injury
- Genetics – Stress sensitivity
- Low Serotonergic Function
- Premorbid Social Adjustment
- Family History of suicide
- Childhood Abuse/Trauma
- Early Loss
- Chronic Physical/Mental Illness
- Prior suicide attempts
- Chronic Substance Abuse
- Treatment difficulties

Source: American Foundation for Suicide Prevention

Proximal (acute) risk factors
- Recent events or exacerbations of ongoing characteristics that can indicate increasing or more imminent risk

- Example:
  - Suicide attempt within the last 3 months
  - Current major depressive episode

Proximal Variables
- Acute Psychiatric Episode (e.g., MDE, Psychosis)
- Acute Medical Illness
- Stressful Life Event
- Poor social support / Family conflict
- Acute Substance Use
- Access to Means
Types of Risk Factors
Proximal vs. Distal vs. Warning Signs

• **Warning Signs (most acute risk factors)**
  - Events or behaviors that precede a spike in suicide risk in a *particular individual*, according to individual’s history; time frames varies from individual to individual, from minutes to days
  - Examples:
    - Active, escalating suicidal ideation that is similar to the type of ideation present directly preceding a previous suicide attempt;
    - Recent increase in substance use
    - Recent loss or interpersonal conflict (e.g. job loss, divorce, removal of children)

---

**Warning Signs**

**Behavior**
- Increased use of alcohol or drugs.
- Acting recklessly.
- Isolating and withdrawing from activities.
- Change in sleep, appetite, energy level.
- Visiting or calling people to say goodbye.
- Giving away prized possessions.
- Aggression or agitation.
- Discomfort due to psychosis/psychiatric symptoms.
- Discomfort due to medication side effects.

**Things they Say:**
- Killing themselves
- Having no reason to live
- Being a burden to others
- Feeling trapped
- Unbearable pain
- **Hopelessness**

**Mood:**
- Depression, despair
- Loss of interest
- Rage
- Irritability
- Humiliation
- Anxiety
Other Considerations

• More males than females die by suicide
  • Females with psychosis at higher risk than general population OR other risk groups
• Sexual minority youth have higher risk than non-minority youth
• Highest risk in non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native and non-Hispanic White populations
• Certain occupations associated with higher risk: veterans/military, construction, arts/design, entertainment/food, sports and media

• Unemployment or lack of meaningful regular activities associated with higher risk
• Single individuals die by suicide more than those in relationships
  • Poor social functioning and lack of social support are risk factors
• Risk higher when individuals are 1) bothered by their psychosis or 2) have psychotic symptoms compelling them (ie. command hallucinations, thought insertion)
• Risk higher 3-6 mths post-hospitalization (esp. if not returning home)
Potential Protective Factors

- Have access to mental health treatment
- Positive attitude towards mental health treatment
- Feeling connected with others
- Effective problem solving skills
- Accepting and supportive social environment
- Reasons for living
- Limited access to lethal means

www.sprc.org/library/safe_t_packcard_edc.pdf
Suicide assessments should be conducted at first contact, with any subsequent suicidal behavior, increased ideation, or pertinent clinical change for inpatients, prior to increasing privileges and at discharge.

1. **RISK FACTORS**
   - Suicidal behavior: History of prior suicide attempts, aborted suicide attempts or self-injurious behavior
   - Current/past psychiatric disorders: especially mood disorders, psychotic disorders, alcohol/substance abuse, ADHD, TBI, PTSD
   - Cluster B personality disorders, conduct disorders (antisocial behavior, aggression, impulsivity)
   - Co-morbidity and recent onset of illness increase risk
   - Key symptoms: hopelessness, impulsivity, anxiety/panic, depression, somatic complaints, substance abuse
   - Family history: of suicide, attempts or Axis I psychiatric disorders requiring hospitalization
   - Precipitants/Triggers: interpersonal/interpersonal, any event leading to increased thoughts, plans, or behaviors
   - Ongoing medical illness (e.g., HIV, cancer, seizures)
   - Feelings, mood, or conduct problems
   - Change in treatment: discharge from psychiatric hospital, provider or treatment change
   - Access to resources

2. **PROTECTIVE FACTORS**
   - Protective factors, even if present, may not counteract significant suicide risk
   - Internal: ability to cope with stress, religious beliefs, frustration tolerance
   - External: responsibility to children or other children, positive therapeutic relationships, social support

3. **SUICIDE INQUIRY**
   - Specific questions about thoughts, plans, behaviors, intent
     - Ideation: frequency, intensity, duration, in last 24 hours, past month and worst ever
     - Plans: timing, location, lethality, availability of means
     - Behaviors: past attempts, aborted attempts, rehearsals, tying notes, cutting, self-poisoning
     - Intent: extent to which the patient (1) expects to carry out the plan and (2) believes the plan will be lethal vs. suicidal
   - Explore ambivalence: reasons to die vs. reasons to live
   - For suicide: ask parent/guardian about evidence of suicidal thoughts, plans, or behaviors, and changes in mood, behaviors or disposition
   - booklet or handbook: relevant to suicidal crisis

4. **RISK LEVEL/INTERVENTION**
   - Assessment of risk level is based on clinical judgment, after completing steps 1–3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK LEVEL</th>
<th>RISK FACTORS</th>
<th>SUICIDALITY</th>
<th>POSSIBLE INTERVENTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Psychiatric disorder with severe depression and/or suicidal ideation</td>
<td>Potentially lethal suicide attempt or completed suicide with strong intent or history of suicide attempts</td>
<td>Admission generally indicated followed by a significant change in medications, suicide precautions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Multiple risk factors, few protective factors</td>
<td>Substantial idea of plan, but no intent or behavior</td>
<td>Admission may be necessary depending on risk factors, develop crisis plan, give emergency/crisis numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Multiple risk factors, many protective factors</td>
<td>Thoughts of death, no plan, weak intent or behavior</td>
<td>Outpatient referral, symptom reduction, give emergency/crisis numbers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **DOCUMENT** Risk level and rationale
   - Treatment plans to address suicide risk: E.N.T., contact with significant others, consultation, firearm instructions, if relevant: follow up plan. For youths, treatment plan should include roles for parent/guardian.

---

So how should we assess suicide risk?
Suicide Risk Assessment
The Problem...

• Lack of conceptual clarity about suicidal behavior → corresponds to lack of well-defined terminology
• Same behaviors are called a variety of things
  • E.g. threat, gesture
• Often negative and based on incorrect notions about seriousness and lethality of methods
  • E.g. manipulative, non-serious, passive

C-SSRS

Considered the “Gold Standard” for assessing suicidal thought and behavior in adolescents and young adults, but can be used for all ages (Posner et al., 2011)
  • Includes items that research has shown are strongly associated with suicide risk
  • Required by FDA for all new trials

NOT Designed to:
1. Assess risk factors
2. Assess protective factors in detail (reasons not to die)
3. Assess supports
4. Tell you how to respond → Need additional crisis plan

CSSRS is one part of your risk assessment!
Sources of Information

• Use any source of information that informs your clinical judgment and gets you the most clinically meaningful response

• Typically the client can provide best info about suicidal intent and thoughts, BUT also can be helpful to get collateral info (records, family, spouse, etc)
  • Client may refuse to talk about the event

Let’s look at the components of the C-SSRS...
Timeframes: Lifetime (at Baseline)

• Ideation and Intensity of Ideation - examine time he/she was feeling MOST suicidal EVER – not the “average” across life
  • “The time in your life when you were feeling the most suicidal, did you wish you were dead, have thoughts of actually killing yourself, etc…”

• Behavior is “ever”
  • Capture all lifetime occurrences, e.g. total number of attempts (may have accompanying ideation)

Suicidal Ideation

1. Wish to die:
   “Have you wished you were dead or wished you could go to sleep and not wake up?”

2. Active thoughts of killing oneself:
   “Have you actually had thoughts of killing yourself?”

** If “NO” to both of these questions, you are finished with Suicidal Ideation section.**

** If “YES” to #1 OR #2, then continue with Suicidal Ideation and then Intensity of Ideation sections...**
Suicidal Ideation

3. Associated Thoughts of Methods:
   “Have you been thinking about how you might do this?”

4. Some Intent:
   “Have you had these thoughts AND some intention of acting on them?”

5. Plan and Intent:
   “Have you started to work out or worked out the details of how to kill yourself? Do you intend to carry out this plan?”

NOTE: Suicidal content of psychotic symptoms (i.e., Command hallucinations to kill self or delusional beliefs of need to die) COUNT as ideation!
3. Active Suicidal ideation with Any Methods (Not Plan) without Intent to Act - Subject endorses thoughts of suicide and has thought of at least one method during the assessment period. This is different than a specific plan with time, place or method details worked out (e.g., thought of method to kill self but not a specific plan). Includes person who would say, “I thought about taking an overdose but I never made a specific plan as to when, where or how I would actually do it... and I would never go through with it.”

How you (EVER/N THE PAST MONTH) been thinking about how you might do this? If yes, describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Active Suicidal ideation with Some Intent to Act, without Specific Plan - Active suicidal thoughts of killing oneself and subject reports having some intent to act on such thoughts, in opposition to “I have the thoughts but definitely will not do anything about them.”

Have you (EVER/N THE PAST MONTH) had these thoughts and had some intention of acting on them? If yes, then describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Active Suicidal ideation with Specific Plan and Intent - Thoughts of killing oneself with details of plan fully or partially worked out and subject has some intent to carry it out.

Have you (EVER/N THE PAST MONTH) started to work out or worked out the details of how to kill yourself? Do you intend to carry out this plan? If yes, describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intensity of Ideation – Rate for all levels of ideation above

Intensity of Ideation

- Once types of ideation are determined, ask a few follow up questions about most severe thought in specified timeframe:
  - Frequency
  - Duration
  - Controllability
  - Deterrents
  - Reasons for ideation: Stop the pain or make someone angry – stop the pain is worse

- All of these items are significantly predictive of death by suicide!
Clinical Monitoring Guidance

• For Intensity of Ideation, risk is greater when:
  • Thoughts are more frequent
  • Thoughts are of longer duration
  • Thoughts are less controllable
  • Have fewer deterrents to acting on thoughts
  • Stopping the pain is the reason

• Score of 4 (Some Intent) or 5 (Intent with Plan) → Indicates need for intervention

Suicidal Behavior

• **Definition of Suicide Attempt** = a self-injurious act committed with at least some intent to die as a result of the act
  • There does not have to be any injury or harm, just the potential for injury or harm (e.g. gun failing to fire, rope breaking)
  • Any “non-zero” intent to die – People often have mixed feelings. Does not have to be 100%, but has to be more than 0%
  • Intent to die and behavior must be linked → does not include non-suicidal self-injurious behavior
  • Intent can sometimes be inferred from the behavior or circumstances...
    • If they deny intent to die BUT they thought act could be lethal, intent can be inferred
    • “Clinically impressive” circumstances: highly lethal act where no other intent but suicide can be inferred (e.g. gunshot to head, jumping from bridge or high building, setting self on fire, taking 200 pills)
Suicidal Behavior

• A suicide attempt begins with the first act – the first pill ingested or scratch with the knife.
  • Even if they stop → aborted attempt
  • Are interrupted → interrupted attempt

• Questions to rate Actual Attempt:
  • Have you made a suicide attempt?
  • Have you done anything to harm yourself?
  • Have you done anything dangerous where you could have died?
  *Ask the extra questions here → client may not consider something a suicide attempt*

As Opposed to Non-suicidal Self-injurious Behavior

• Engaging in behavior PURELY (100%) for reasons other than to end one’s life:
  • Either to affect:
    • Internal state = feel better, relieve pain/distress.
      “Self-mutilation”
    AND/OR
    • External Circumstances = get sympathy, attention, make others angry, etc
  • BUT if even SMALL % of self wishes to die, then would be an attempt
Suicidal Behavior

• Important to ask the follow up “why?” questions in the Actual Attempt section!
  • Don’t just infer, ask them WHY they did it.
• Client may have multiple suicidal events that you need to assess
• May also have self-injurious behavior AND suicidal behavior
  • Need to ask “why” for each event → some may have intent (actual attempts) while other did not

Actual Attempt:
A potentially self-injurious act committed with at least some wish to die, as a result of act. Behavior was in part thought of as a method to kill oneself. Intent does not have to be 100%. If there is any intent/desire to die associated with the act, then it can be considered an actual suicide attempt. There does not have to be any injury or harm, just the potential for injury or harm. If the person pulls trigger while gun is in mouth but gun is broken so no injury results, this is considered an attempt.
Inferring Intent: Even if an individual denies intent/wish to die, it may be inferred clinically from the behavior or circumstances. For example, a highly lethal act that is clearly not an accident so no other intent but suicide can be inferred (e.g. gunshot to head, jumping from window of a high floor/story). Also, if someone denies intent to die, but they thought that what they did could be lethal, intent may be inferred.

*Have you (EVER/IN THE PAST MONTH) made a suicide attempt?
*Have you (EVER/IN THE PAST MONTH) done anything to harm yourself?
*Have you (EVER/IN THE PAST MONTH) done anything dangerous where you could’ve died?
What did you do?
Did you _______ as a way to end your life?
Did you want to die (even a little) when you ______?
Were you trying to end your life when you ______?
Or did you think it was possible you could have died from ______?
Or did you do it purely for other reasons/without ANY intention of ending your life (like to relieve stress, feel better, get sympathy, or get something else to happen)? (Self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent)
If yes, describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIFETIME</th>
<th>PAST MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

May help you infer intent
Ensures that you assessed all possibilities and determined what is suicidal vs what isn’t

Has subject engaged in non-suicidal self-injurious behavior?

Yes ☐ No ☐
C-SSRS Suicidal Behavior Levels

• **3 Types of Attempts:**
  • Actual Attempt
    - A self-injurious act committed with at least some intent to die
  • Interrupted Attempt:
    - Person starts to take steps to end their life BUT someone or something stops them → Hasn’t acted yet (actual attempt)
  • Aborted Attempt
    - Person starts to take steps to end their life BUT stops themselves before they have engaged in any self-destructive behavior (Has not started to act)

• **Preparatory Acts or Behavior**
  • Any other behavior (beyond saying something) with suicidal intent

Remember: Ideation & Behavior must be queried separately

• Just because they deny ideation, doesn’t mean that there won’t be suicidal behavior
• **You need to ask questions in Behavior section regardless of lack of ideation**
  • Clinician: “Have you wished you were dead or wished you could go to sleep and not wake up?”
  • Client: “Ummmm, no.”
  • Clinician: “Have you actually had any thoughts of killing yourself?”
  • Client: “No.”
  • Clinician: “Ok, but have you tried to harm yourself in order to end your life or because you wanted to die?”
  • Client: “I once impulsively tried to hang myself because I wanted to end it all, without even thinking about it.”
Suicide Behavior Questionnaire- Revised

- Ages 13-18

ASQ

- For ED, medical, outpatient/primary care settings
- Ages 10-21

ASK THE SCREENING QUESTIONS

1. In the past few weeks, have you wished you were dead?
2. In the past few weeks, have you felt that you or your family would be better off if you were dead?
3. In the past week, have you been having thoughts about killing yourself?
4. Have you ever tried to kill yourself?

If yes, please describe:

If the patient answers Yes to any of the above, ask the following follow-up question:
5. Are you having thoughts of killing yourself right now?

If yes, please describe:

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH (NIMH)
Intervention

Collaboration with Yael Holoshitz, MD
Psychiatrist, OnTrackNY/WHCS

• The Risk Assessment guides clinical management and triage
• After suicide risk assessment, comes appropriate intervention...
  • “Proactive” management = No ACUTE risk → Consider the Safety Planning Intervention
  • “Reactive” management = ACUTE RISK → Consider alternative options to maintain safety (including SPI in some cases)

Proactive Risk Management

• Integrate suicide risk assessment as standard part of care
  • Intake evaluation
    • Screening → Risk assessment for positive screen
    • Reassessments at standard intervals (e.g. every 6 months)

• Integrate safety planning as part of standard relapse plan
  • Re-visit it regularly as part of treatment

• For individuals with elevated risk
  • Integrate other treatment options as part of care
Evidence-Based Risk Reduction Strategies

• Means Restriction (esp. fire arms!)
• Brief problem solving and coping skills (including distraction)
• Enhancing social support, identifying emergency contacts
• Motivational Enhancement for further treatment

Specialized Therapy for Suicide Prevention

• Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS)
• Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT)
• Cognitive Behavioral Therapy – Suicide Prevention (CBT-SP)
• Often require specialized training: visit sprc.org, SAMHSA
What is the Safety Plan Intervention (SPI)?

• SPI is a clinical intervention that results in development of a one-page document to use when a suicidal crisis is emerging.
• Suicide risk fluctuates over time and SPI is a plan for managing and decreasing suicidal feelings and for staying safe when these feelings emerge
  • Remember, most attempts are IMPULSIVE!
• The individual at risk completes the SPI with the help of a clinician.
• Can be done in one brief session and refined over time.

Theoretical Foundation of SPI

• Problem solving capacity diminishes during crisis → so repeated review and over-practice with a specific template can help coping when client is in distress.
  • Parallel to STOP-DROP-ROLL for fire safety.

• Clinician and suicidal individual collaborate to determine cognitive and behavioral strategies to use during suicidal crises
  • Step-wise increase in level of intervention: Starts “within self” and builds to seeking help in the psychiatric emergency room
  • HOWEVER individual can advance in steps without “completing” previous step...
The SPI is NOT:

- NOT a substitute for treatment
- NOT help for an individual in imminent danger of attempting suicide
- NOT a “no-suicide contract”
  - Avoid “no-suicide contracts”— all this does is ask clients to promise to stay alive without telling them HOW or giving them the resources to cope
SPI: When to use

- Consider using for “crisis prevention” in addition to suicide prevention; consider for all clients beginning treatment
- For anyone with positive screen on C-SSRS
- Annual or semi-annual revision
- Whenever an event has occurred (hospitalization, suicide attempt, emergency room visit)

Other Interventions & Monitoring

What additional interventions can be incorporated into care when SPI isn’t enough?

- Skills training programs
- Family Involvement
- Medications
- Structured monitoring & follow up
“Reactive” Risk Management

- Individual is at **ACUTE RISK** based on:
  - Risk Assessment = increased ideation, intent, behaviors
  - Increased psychosis symptoms
  - Unable to engage in safety skills
  - Lack of family/collateral support
  - Not able/willing to engage in treatment

- Hospitalization or crisis treatment is necessary
  - Know the hospitalization protocol in your clinic!

SAMHSA funded training resources

- Suicide Prevention Resource Center, [www.sprc.org](http://www.sprc.org) Assessing and Managing Suicide Risk (AMSR)
- SAFE-T Card and SuicideSafe app walks clinicians through a suicide risk assessment
- Treatment Improvement Protocol 50-Suicide and Substance Abuse
- For FEP: [http://www.nasmhpd.org/content/part-i-recognizing-suicidal-ideation-and-behavior-individuals-first-episode-psychosis](http://www.nasmhpd.org/content/part-i-recognizing-suicidal-ideation-and-behavior-individuals-first-episode-psychosis)
Link to SPI Training


National Suicide Prevention Lifeline

- Joint Commission recommends giving those with suicidal ideation the Lifeline number - 1-800-273-TALK (8255)
  - Link to Veterans Crisis Line
- 160+ local crisis centers
  - WellSpace Health
- Local Lifeline crisis centers
  - The Effort: (916) 368-3111
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THANK YOU

tniendam@ucdavis.edu
REQUEST A CONSULTATION NOW

1 Go to SMIadviser.com/submit-consult

2 Log in or create an account

3 Submit any question and receive a response from an SMI expert

Ask us about psychopharmacology, therapies, recovery supports, patient and family engagement, education, and more.

QUESTIONS?