



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev



1 Review

3 The anterior insula in autism: Under-connected and under-examined

4 Lucina Q. Uddin^{a,b,*}, Vinod Menon^{a,b}

5 ^a Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304, USA

6 ^b Program in Neuroscience, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 January 2009
Received in revised form 28 May 2009
Accepted 8 June 2009

Keywords:

Connectivity
Empathy
Autism spectrum disorders
Network
Von Economo neuron
fMRI

ABSTRACT

Autism is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder of unknown etiology. While the past decade has witnessed a proliferation of neuroimaging studies of autism, theoretical approaches for understanding systems-level brain abnormalities remain poorly developed. We propose a novel anterior insula-based systems-level model for investigating the neural basis of autism, synthesizing recent advances in brain network functional connectivity with converging evidence from neuroimaging studies in autism. The anterior insula is involved in interoceptive, affective and empathic processes, and emerging evidence suggests it is part of a “salience network” integrating external sensory stimuli with internal states. Network analysis indicates that the anterior insula is uniquely positioned as a hub mediating interactions between large-scale networks involved in externally and internally oriented cognitive processing. A recent meta-analysis identifies the anterior insula as a consistent locus of hypoactivity in autism. We suggest that dysfunctional anterior insula connectivity plays an important role in autism. Critical examination of these abnormalities from a systems neuroscience perspective should be a priority for further research on the neurobiology of autism.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

7 Contents

9	1. Introduction	000
10	2. Brain under-connectivity in ASD	000
11	3. Functions and connectivity of anterior insula	000
12	4. Spindle neurons: unique location and function	000
13	5. Anterior insula as a network hub: role in switching between brain networks	000
14	6. Anterior insula in ASD	000
15	7. Brain networks in ASD: synthesis and future directions	000
16	Acknowledgements	000
17	References	000

19 1. Introduction

20 Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are developmental disorders
21 characterized by social impairments, restricted interests, and
22 repetitive and stereotyped behaviors, with an estimated incidence
23 of 1:150 (Anon., 2007). An overwhelming number of theoretical
24 accounts of autism have been offered, with relatively few attempts

at synthesis across studies (Waterhouse, 2008). Despite considerable efforts to delineate precise brain functional and structural differences between individuals with ASD and typically developing individuals (Sokol and Edwards-Brown, 2004), very little is known regarding differences in large-scale brain network interactions that underlie the cognitive and behavioral symptoms of ASD. The field of autism research has been largely dominated by theories positing malfunction of individual brain regions in ASD, such as the amygdala (Adolphs et al., 2001; Baron-Cohen et al., 2000), superior temporal sulcus (STS) (Pelphrey and Carter, 2008), or fusiform gyrus (Schultz, 2005). At the other extreme, there are claims that ASD is a distributed disorder characterized by widespread abnormalities throughout the brain (Muller, 2007). Recent

* Corresponding author at: Stanford University School of Medicine, 780 Welch Road, Room 201, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA. Tel.: +1 650 721 6136; fax: +1 650 736 7200.
E-mail address: lucina@stanford.edu (L.Q. Uddin).

conceptualizations of the brain basis of ASD have taken a systems-level approach, and proposed that ASD may be explained by abnormalities in the mirror neuron system (Oberman and Ramachandran, 2007; Williams et al., 2001), the default-mode network (Kennedy and Courchesne, 2008; Kennedy et al., 2006), or both (Iacoboni, 2006). These conceptualizations, however, have primarily focused on specific brain systems and have largely ignored the critical interactions between multiple distinct brain systems, which may be important for understanding the neurobiology of a complex neurodevelopmental disorder such as ASD.

Recent work in systems neuroscience has characterized several canonical brain networks that are identifiable in both the resting (Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007) and the active brain (Toro et al., 2008). Conceptualizing the brain as comprised of multiple, distinct, and interacting networks provides a new framework for understanding the complex symptomatology of ASD. Here we suggest that analysis of large-scale brain networks will provide a parsimonious account of the recent neuroimaging literature on ASD, and that the anterior insula (AI) is a brain region of particular interest in understanding this disorder. We discuss the rationale behind our approach, taking into account recent advances in the study of brain networks.

2. Brain under-connectivity in ASD

One of the earliest and most prominent theories of brain abnormalities underlying ASD is that the disorder is one of connectivity (Frith, 2004; Geschwind and Levitt, 2007). In post-mortem anatomical studies, Courchesne's group observed that the brains of individuals with ASD showed hyper-connectivity within frontal lobe regions, and decreased long-range connectivity and reciprocal interactions with other cortical regions. His team proposed that excessive, disorganized, and inadequately selective connectivity within the frontal lobes leads to poorly synchronized connectivity between frontal cortex and other brain systems (Courchesne and Pierce, 2005b). Even before the widespread use of fMRI to study brain connectivity, correlations between regional cerebral metabolic rates for glucose determined by PET were used to provide a measure of functional associations between regions. Horwitz et al. (1988) demonstrated two decades ago that individuals with ASD showed reduced correlations between the insula and fronto-parietal regions. Strong evidence for functional and structural under-connectivity in the autistic brain is available from studies utilizing a variety of methods (see Hughes, 2007 for review).

Increasing evidence for abnormal brain connectivity in autism comes from studies using functional connectivity measures (Just et al., 2007; Kana et al., 2006). One study found reduced functional connectivity between primary visual cortex and the right inferior frontal gyrus in individuals with autism compared to controls (Villalobos et al., 2005), and another has shown decreased functional connectivity between frontal regions and the fusiform gyrus during a working memory task involving faces (Koshino et al., 2008). Another group has recently demonstrated abnormal functional connectivity in the limbic system during face processing in individuals with autism (Kleinmans et al., 2008). These findings support the hypothesis that under-connectivity between specific brain regions is a characteristic feature of ASD. To date, however, few studies have examined functional connectivity within and between key large-scale canonical brain networks in autism (Cherkassky et al., 2006; Kennedy and Courchesne, 2008). The majority of published studies to date have examined connectivity of specific individual brain regions, without a broader theoretically driven systems-level approach.

We propose that a systems-level approach is critical for understanding the neurobiology of autism, and that the anterior

insula is a key node in coordinating brain network interactions, due to its unique anatomy, location, function, and connectivity. The examination of this structure is an important yet neglected area of research in autism.

3. Functions and connectivity of anterior insula

The insular cortex, located deep within the lateral sulcus of the brain, is traditionally considered to be paralimbic (Mesulam and Mufson, 1982) or "limbic integration cortex" (Augustine, 1996). This characterization stems in large part from the patterns of structural connectivity of this region, which has efferent projections to the amygdala, lateral orbital cortex, olfactory cortex, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and STS, and receives input from orbitofrontal, olfactory cortex, ACC and STS (Mesulam and Mufson, 1982; Mufson and Mesulam, 1982). The insula is a multifaceted brain region, participating in visceral sensory and somatic sensory roles, autonomic regulation of the gastrointestinal tract and heart, as well as a functioning as a motor association area (Augustine, 1996). While the posterior portion of the insula is thought to be more involved with representing stimulus intensities, the AI (Fig. 1) appears to track the feelings and perceptions associated with bodily states. Craig et al. (2000) have shown that while posterior insula activation correlates with actual changes in thermal intensity, right AI activation tracks perceived thermal intensity. It has also been suggested that interoception, or the sense of the physiological condition of the entire body, constitutes the basis for subjective evaluation of one's condition, and is implemented in the right AI (Craig, 2002). Craig has recently further hypothesized that the AI contains the anatomical substrate for the evolved capacity of humans to be aware of themselves, others, and the environment (Craig, 2009).

The insula has long been thought to play a role in the experience of emotion derived from information about bodily states. Pure autonomic failure (PAF) is an idiopathic disorder in which peripheral denervation disrupts autonomic responses. Critchley et al. (2001) used PET to demonstrate that patients with PAF show reduced activation in the right insula during performance of "stressor" tasks (e.g. mental arithmetic) compared to controls. These patients also exhibited subtle impairments in emotional responses, and identified with statements such as "I can no longer feel sad" and "I have lost my ability to feel emotional". This data is in line with the theory that signals from the autonomic nervous system shape emotional experience (Damasio, 1996), and that the insula is a key brain region involved in this process. Critchley et al. (2004) have also reported that activity in the right AI predicts participants' accuracy in a task requiring detection of one's own heartbeat. Furthermore, they report that gray matter volume in the AI correlates with interoceptive accuracy and subjective ratings of visceral awareness. The final link comes from the finding that in




Fig. 1. Right anterior insula: the anterior insula is located within the lateral sulcus of the brain.

these subjects, emotional experience correlated with interoceptive accuracy (Critchley et al., 2004). This study therefore provides evidence for the claim that there are strong links between the right AI, perception of one's own bodily state, and the experience of emotion. In a study of smokers with brain damage involving the insula, it was found that these smokers found it easier to quit smoking than smokers with brain damage involving other areas, demonstrating the key role for the insula in representation of conscious bodily urges (Naqvi et al., 2007). A recent PET study corroborates this finding, identifying the insula as a region involved in the control and suppression of natural urges, in this case blinking (Lerner et al., 2009).

Functional neuroimaging studies have shown that the right AI is active across a wide variety of paradigms involving the subjective awareness of feelings, including studies of anger, disgust, judgments of trustworthiness, and sexual arousal (see Craig, 2002 for review). A recent study examining the pathophysiology of auditory verbal hallucinations found that during times when patients with schizophrenia reported experiencing hallucinations, increased activation of the right insula was observed (Sommer et al., 2008). The AI has also been implicated in empathy, or the "capacity to understand emotions of others by sharing their affective states" (Singer, 2006). An intriguing study by Singer et al. (2004) showed that while the posterior insula was activated when subjects received painful stimulation, anterior insula and ACC was activated both when the subject received pain and when the subject witnessed a loved one receiving pain. Activation in the AI was positively correlated with individual's scores on an empathy scale. The results of this experiment led the authors to conclude that AI activation reflects emotional experience that may constitute the neural basis of our understanding of the feelings of others (empathy) and ourselves (Singer et al., 2004). Reduced empathic and emotional responsiveness is considered a hallmark of ASD, and is part of the core symptomatology of the disorder (Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright, 2004). A recent study used alexithymia and empathy scales to assess emotional awareness of the self and others, respectively, in individuals with high functioning autism and typically developing adults. The authors report that difficulties in emotional awareness are related to hypoactivity in the AI of both autistic individuals and controls. The poorer the awareness of one's own and other's emotions, the weaker the activity in the AI (Silani et al., 2008). Another recent functional neuroimaging study found that individuals with borderline personality disorder showed different anterior insula responses than healthy controls during an economic exchange game designed to assess perception of social gestures (King-Casas et al., 2008). Taken together, these studies suggest an important role for the AI in both self- and other-related social and affective processes.

The right and left AI are often co-activated, a finding which is not surprising considering that strong interhemispheric coupling between homologous regions in each hemisphere is often observed (Stark et al., 2008). However, right AI activation predominates in the majority of studies, though some notable instances of selective left AI activation in healthy individuals have also been documented. During positive emotional and affiliative moments, such as the experience of maternal and romantic love (Bartels and Zeki, 2004) and viewing pleased facial expressions (Jabbi et al., 2007), greater left than right AI activation is seen. Stimuli activating the right AI are typically arousing, and this asymmetry of activation has previously been attributed to relationships between cortical asymmetry and asymmetric autonomic innervation of the heart (Craig, 2009). Further work is needed to address the issue of how the left and right AI work in an integrated manner, and how their functions differ.

4. Spindle neurons: unique location and function

217

The AI is among the few brain regions containing a special class of neurons thought to be unique to higher primates, known as Von Economo or "spindle" neurons. These neurons have been found in humans, bonobos, chimpanzees, gorillas, and orangutans, but in no other primate species examined (Nimchinsky et al., 1999). Spindle neurons are large projection neurons with a distinctive morphology, and are thought to be a relatively recent phylogenetic specialization (Allman et al., 2002). These cells appear in small numbers around the 35th week of gestation. At birth only about 15% of postnatal numbers are present, and adult numbers are typically attained by 4 years of age. While spindle cells are located in layer 5, which is typically an output layer, it is not known where they ultimately project (Allman et al., 2005). It is speculated that the function of these cells is to rapidly relay to other parts of the brain a signal derived from information processed within the AI. Interestingly, spindle neurons are 30% more numerous in the right hemisphere than the left (Allman et al., 2005).

It has previously been suggested that abnormal development of spindle neurons may cause the social disabilities characteristic of ASD (Frith, 2001; Mundy, 2003). Allman et al. (2005) proposed that the large size of these neurons may enable them to relay fast intuitive assessments of complex social situations and that they are likely involved in social emotions, bonding, and intuitive responses involving uncertainty. This group was one of the first to propose that abnormal development of spindle neurons can lead to difficulty in evaluating social situations, a hallmark of ASD (Allman et al., 2005). It is noteworthy that vulnerability of spindle neurons is also thought to play a role in frontotemporal dementia (FTD), a disorder involving disruptions to the anterior cingulate, orbitofrontal and insula regions, and is associated with abnormalities in social interactions, emotion recognition, and empathy (Seeley et al., 2009; Viskontas et al., 2007). FTD has been associated with severe and selective spindle neuron loss, including a 74% reduction in spindle neurons compared with control subjects (Seeley et al., 2006). Thus, these neurons have been linked to abnormal social functioning in more than one disorder.

While the hypothesis that spindle neuron abnormality or deficiency is responsible for the social deficits in autism is intriguing, empirical support for this theory is lacking. In the only study to date, Kennedy et al. (2007) showed that there is no difference in spindle neuron number between autistic and normal brains. However, it is possible that while individuals with ASD do not differ from controls in overall spindle cell number, the short- and long-range connectivity of these neurons is disrupted. Courchesne hypothesized that the protracted developmental time course of spindle neurons may make them particularly susceptible to early developmental derailment in the autistic brain (Courchesne and Pierce, 2005a). No study has yet attempted to understand the connectivity of the region containing spindle neurons in the brains of individuals with ASD. We hypothesize that it is connectivity, rather than cell number, that will prove to be the critical factor in understanding potential spindle neuron dysfunction in ASD.

5. Anterior insula as a network hub: role in switching between brain networks

271

272

Recent work using resting-state fMRI suggests that the human brain is intrinsically organized into distinct functional networks (Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Greicius et al., 2003). Resting-state functional connectivity enables the characterization of large-scale networks without contamination from cognitive tasks (Fox and Raichle, 2007; Greicius et al., 2009; Uddin et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2006). This framework has identified at least three canonical

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280 networks: (1) a central-executive network (CEN) comprised of the
281 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and posterior parietal cortex
282 (PPC); (2) the default-mode network (DMN) including the
283 ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) and posterior cingulate
284 cortex (PCC); and (3) a salience network (SN) with key nodes in the
285 AI and ACC (Fox et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007). The ACC has
286 previously been shown to exhibit diminished responses in
287 individuals with ASD during an interpersonal exchange game
288 requiring reciprocal social interaction (Chiu et al., 2008), and
289 hypoactivity in the ACC has been linked to deficits in response
290 shifting and executive functioning (Shafritz et al., 2008). While the
291 ACC and AI are often co-activated (Craig, 2009), the specific roles of
292 the AI and ACC in ASD have not been established.

293 Evidence from brain network analyses suggests that the
294 anterior insula can be considered as part of a “salience network”
295 which serves to integrate sensory data with visceral, autonomic,
296 and hedonic information. Seeley et al. (2007) used region-of-
297 interest (ROI) and independent component analyses (ICA) of
298 resting-state fMRI data to demonstrate the existence of this
299 independent brain network comprised of the anterior insula,
300 dorsal ACC, along with subcortical structures including the
301 amygdala, substantia nigra/ventral tegmental area, and thalamus.
302 They propose that the function of this salience network is to
303 identify the most homeostatically relevant among several internal
304 and extrapersonal stimuli in order to guide behavior. The right AI
305 has also recently been demonstrated to aid in the coordination and
306 evaluation of task performance across behavioral tasks with
307 varying perceptual and response demands (Eckert et al., in press).

308 A recent study used Granger causality analyses to examine the
309 directionality of influence of specific network nodes on other brain
310 regions. Granger causality analyses (GCA) enable the detection of
311 causal interactions between brain regions by assessing the extent
312 to which signal changes in one brain region can predict signal
313 changes in another brain region (Goebel et al., 2003). Sridharan
314 et al. (2008) showed, across three independent datasets, that the
315 right AI plays a critical and causal role in switching between two
316 other networks (the CEN and the DMN) known to demonstrate
317 competitive interactions during cognitive information processing.
318 This study shows that the right AI is involved in switching between
319 brain networks across task paradigms and stimulus modalities,
320 and thus acts as a “causal outflow hub” coordinating two large-
321 scale networks important for mediating attention to the external
322 (central-executive) and internal (default-mode) worlds. This study
323 is the first, to our knowledge, to demonstrate that right AI activity
324 temporally precedes activity in these two other extensively
325 characterized networks. It is suggested that the right AI, part of
326 the previously described salience network, enables task-related
327 information processing by initiating appropriate transient control
328 signals to the networks mediating attentional, working memory,
329 and higher order cognitive processes while disengaging the
330 default-mode network (Sridharan et al., 2008). This new under-
331 standing of the right AI as a critical node for initiating network
332 switching provides key insight into the potential for profound
333 deficits in cognitive functioning should AI integrity or connectivity
334 be compromised. Indeed, AI hyperactivity has been implicated in
335 anxiety disorders, suggesting that when the salience network goes
336 into over-drive, pathology subsequently results (Paulus and Stein,
337 2006; Stein et al., 2007). Individuals scoring high on the trait
338 neuroticism, defined as the tendency to experience negative
339 emotional states, demonstrate greater right AI activation during
340 decision-making, even when the outcome of the decision is certain
341 (Feinstein et al., 2006). It seems that an appropriate level of AI
342 activity is necessary to provide an alert signal to initiate brain
343 responses to salient stimuli, but this signal can be over-active, in
344 the case of anxiety, or under-active, as may be the case in ASD
345 (Silani, 2008). In sum, the AI appears to be uniquely positioned to

detect changes in bodily states and initiate motivated behaviors, 346
which are key to interpersonal and social processes. 347

6. Anterior insula in ASD 348

The AI is a region that is critically involved in operations critical to 349
social processing. While previous theories of ASD have focused on 350
hypoactivity in regions such as the fusiform gyrus, superior temporal 351
sulcus, or amygdala, the role of the AI is often overlooked. However, 352
in a recent comprehensive meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging 353
studies of social processing in ASD, Di Martino et al. (2009) 354
demonstrated that across a group of 24 studies examining various 355
aspects of social processing ranging from face processing to theory of 356
mind, one of the regions consistently showing significant hypoac- 357
tivity in ASD was the right anterior insula. This meta-analysis was not 358
driven by current theories of autism, and thus provides an unbiased 359
survey of the current literature. The identification of the AI as a region 360
of consistent hypoactivity in ASD represents the first critical step in 361
designing future experiments to more clearly elucidate the specific 362
functional abnormalities within the insula that may contribute to the 363
behavioral and cognitive symptoms of ASD. 364

Critically, of the studies reviewed, those reporting hypoactivity 365
of the AI in autism utilized tasks commonly employed to assess 366
social abilities, including viewing emotional facial expressions 367
(Hubl et al., 2003) and incongruent eye gaze (Dichter and Belger, 368
2007). Emotional awareness tasks (Silani, 2008) and other tasks 369
involving facial processing (Di Martino et al., 2009) were also 370
associated with hypoactivity of the AI in ASD. 371

7. Brain networks in ASD: synthesis and future directions 372

The study of brain connectivity, while previously only 373
accessible by post-mortem examination of brain tissue, has been 374
aided greatly in recent years by the development of novel methods 375
to analyze fMRI data. Indeed, such studies now constitute quite a 376
large percentage of the neuroimaging literature on ASD. To date, 377
there have been reports of evidence for reduced functional 378
connectivity between regions critical for social processing in 379
ASD, among several others, as previously reviewed. However, 380
functional and structural connectivity of the AI in ASD is still poorly 381
understood, and most theoretical approaches in understanding the 382
disorder have ignored this brain structure. 383

As we have discussed, the AI serves an integral function with 384
respect to representing and evaluating salient stimuli, and is 385
uniquely positioned as a hub mediating interactions between 386
large-scale brain networks involved in attentional and self- 387
directed processes. Just as the insula has previously been shown 388
to mediate interactions between internal bodily states (interocep- 389
tion/autonomic nervous system) and the outward expression of 390
emotion, it seems to be uniquely positioned as a hub mediating 391
interactions between systems dedicated to externally oriented 392
attention (ECN) and internally oriented cognitive processing 393
(DMN). The right AI region has recently been shown to 394
demonstrate hypoactivity in individuals with ASD, across a wide 395
variety of social cognitive task paradigms (Di Martino et al., 2009). 396
We suspect that this hypoactivity may be due to a disconnect 397
between the anterior insula and the sensory and limbic structures 398
that project to it, leading to a reduction in “salience detection” and 399
subsequent mobilization of attentional resources necessary for 400
guiding appropriate social behavior (Fig. 2). If in the typically 401
developing brain, the AI functions to integrate inputs from 402
multiple sources to initiate switches between the DMN and the 403
CEN, we propose that in ASD this critical system is impaired, 404
leading to the social dysfunctions characteristic of the disorder. 405
Individuals with ASD demonstrate a lack of motivation for 406
orienting to social cues (Charman et al., 1998), which may be 407




Fig. 2. Model of AI function: the anterior insula is part of a salience network which serves to initiate dynamic switches between the DMN and ECN. In our model of AI dysfunction in autism, limbic and sensory inputs are inadequately processed by the AI during social cognition, leading to disruption of the AI's role in coordination of these large-scale brain networks.

due to the fact that they do not find such stimuli to be rewarding (Dawson et al., 1998). This may explain the hypoactivity in the AI, which seems to be specific to studies of social cognition, and not other non-social processes such as cognitive control and working memory (Di Martino et al., 2009).

Thus, we conclude that integrity, function, and connectivity of the AI in ASD warrant further investigation. A systems neuroscience approach taking into account advances in network analysis of brain function, as reviewed above, should be a priority for future studies aimed at understanding the neurobiological basis of ASD. We suggest that the field of autism research may benefit from future research efforts targeting the following questions: (1) What is the nature of functional and structural AI deficits in ASD? (2) Do functional deficits arise primarily from weak inputs to the AI, or inefficient network switching mechanisms involving the AI? (3) How is the development of functional and structural connectivity of the AI disrupted in individuals with ASD? (4) In what context is activity within right or left AI asymmetrically compromised in individuals with ASD? (5) Can training to attend to social stimuli "normalize" activity within the AI and associated networks? We believe that future research targeting these questions will reveal important insights into the systems-level brain abnormalities underlying ASD and provide a novel theoretical framework for subsequent empirical work in the field.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kaustubh Supekar for critical comments and suggestions. This research was supported by a fellowship from the Children's Health Research Program at the Lucille Packard Children's Hospital to L.Q.U. (Tashia and John Morgridge Endowed Postdoctoral Fellow) and grants from the National Institutes of Health (NS058899, HD047520, HD059205), and the National Science Foundation (BCS/DRL 0449927) to V.M.

References

Adolphs, R., Sears, L., Piven, J., 2001. Abnormal processing of social information from faces in autism. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 13 (2), 232-240.
Allman, J., Hakeem, A., Watson, K., 2002. Two phylogenetic specializations in the human brain. *Neuroscientist* 8 (4), 335-346.
Allman, J.M., Watson, K.K., Tetreault, N.A., Hakeem, A.Y., 2005. Intuition and autism: a possible role for Von Economo neurons. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 9 (8), 367-373.

Anon, 2007. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 14 sites, United States 2002. *MMWR Surveill. Summ.* 56 (1), 12-28.
Augustine, J.R., 1996. Circuitry and functional aspects of the insular lobe in primates including humans. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 22 (3), 229-244.
Baron-Cohen, S., Ring, H.A., Bullmore, E.T., Wheelwright, S., Ashwin, C., Williams, S.C., 2000. The amygdala theory of autism. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 24 (3), 355-364.
Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., 2004. The empathy quotient: an investigation of adults with Asperger syndrome or high functioning autism, and normal sex differences. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 34 (2), 163-175.
Bartels, A., Zeki, S., 2004. The neural correlates of maternal and romantic love. *Neuroimage* 21 (3), 1155-1166.
Charman, T., Swettenham, J., Baron-Cohen, S., Cox, A., Baird, G., Drew, A., 1998. An experimental investigation of social-cognitive abilities in infants with autism: clinical implications. *Infant Mental Health* 19 (2), 260-275.
Cherkassky, V.L., Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Just, M.A., 2006. Functional connectivity in a baseline resting-state network in autism. *Neuroreport* 17 (16), 1687-1690.
Chiu, P.H., Kayali, M.A., Kishida, K.T., Tomlin, D., Klingler, L.G., Klingler, M.R., et al., 2008. Self responses along cingulate cortex reveal quantitative neural phenotype for high-functioning autism. *Neuron* 57 (3), 463-473.
Courchesne, E., Pierce, K., 2005a. Brain overgrowth in autism during a critical time in development: implications for frontal pyramidal neuron and interneuron development and connectivity. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 23 (2-3), 153-170.
Courchesne, E., Pierce, K., 2005b. Why the frontal cortex in autism might be talking only to itself: local over-connectivity but long-distance disconnection. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 15 (2), 225-230.
Craig, A.D., 2002. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3 (8), 655-666.
Craig, A.D., 2009. How do you feel now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10 (1), 59-70.
Craig, A.D., Chen, K., Bandy, D., Reiman, E.M., 2000. Thermosensory activation of insular cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3 (2), 184-190.
Critchley, H.D., Mathias, C.J., Dolan, R.J., 2001. Neuroanatomical basis for first- and second-order representations of bodily states. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4 (2), 207-212.
Critchley, H.D., Wiens, S., Rotshtein, P., Ohman, A., Dolan, R.J., 2004. Neural systems supporting interoceptive awareness. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7 (2), 189-195.
Damasio, A.R., 1996. The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci.* 351 (1346), 1413-1420.
Damoiseaux, J.S., Rombouts, S.A., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Stam, C.J., Smith, S.M., et al., 2006. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (37), 13848-13853.
Dawson, G., Meltzoff, A.N., Osterling, J., Rinaldi, J., Brown, E., 1998. Children with autism fail to orient to naturally occurring social stimuli. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 28 (6), 479-485.
Di Martino, A., Ross, K., Uddin, L.Q., Sklar, A.B., Castellanos, F.X., Milham, M.P., 2009. Functional brain correlates of social and nonsocial processes in autism spectrum disorders: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Biol. Psychiatry* 65 (1), 63-74.
Dichter, G.S., Belger, A., 2007. Social stimuli interfere with cognitive control in autism. *Neuroimage* 35 (3), 1219-1230.
Eckert, M.A., Menon, V., Walczak, A., Ahlstrom, J., Denslow, S., Horwitz, A., et al., in press. At the heart of the ventral attention system: the right anterior insula. *Hum. Brain Mapp.*
Feinstein, J.S., Stein, M.B., Paulus, M.P., 2006. Anterior insula reactivity during certain decisions is associated with neuroticism. *Soc. Cogn. Affect Neurosci.* 1 (2), 136-142.
Fox, M.D., Corbetta, M., Snyder, A.Z., Vincent, J.L., Raichle, M.E., 2006. Spontaneous neuronal activity distinguishes human dorsal and ventral attention systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (26), 10046-10051.
Fox, M.D., Raichle, M.E., 2007. Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8 (9), 700-711.
Frith, C., 2004. Is autism a disconnection disorder? *Lancet Neurol.* 3 (10), 577.
Frith, U., 2001. Mind blindness and the brain in autism. *Neuron* 32 (6), 969-979.
Geschwind, D.H., Levitt, P., 2007. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental disconnection syndromes. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17 (1), 103-111.
Goebel, R., Roebroeck, A., Kim, D.S., Formisano, E., 2003. Investigating directed cortical interactions in time-resolved fMRI data using vector autoregressive modeling and Granger causality mapping. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 21 (10), 1251-1261.
Greicius, M.D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A.L., Menon, V., 2003. Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100 (1), 253-258.
Greicius, M.D., Supekar, K., Menon, V., Dougherty, R.F., 2009. Resting-state functional connectivity reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. *Cereb. Cortex* 19 (1), 72-78.
Horwitz, B., Rumsey, J.M., Grady, C.L., Rapoport, S.I., 1988. The cerebral metabolic landscape in autism. Intercorrelations of regional glucose utilization. *Arch. Neurol.* 45 (7), 749-755.
Hubl, D., Bolte, S., Feineis-Matthews, S., Lanfermann, H., Federspiel, A., Strik, W., et al., 2003. Functional imbalance of visual pathways indicates alternative face processing strategies in autism. *Neurology* 61 (9), 1232-1237.
Hughes, J.R., 2007. Autism: the first firm finding underconnectivity? *Epilepsy Behav.* 11 (1), 20-24.

- Iacoboni, M., 2006. Failure to deactivate in autism: the co-constitution of self and other. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 10 (10), 431–433.
- Jabbi, M., Swart, M., Keysers, C., 2007. Empathy for positive and negative emotions in the gustatory cortex. *Neuroimage* 34 (4), 1744–1753.
- Just, M.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Keller, T.A., Kana, R.K., Minshew, N.J., 2007. Functional and anatomical cortical underconnectivity in autism: evidence from an fMRI study of an executive function task and corpus callosum morphometry. *Cereb. Cortex* 17 (4), 951–961.
- Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Minshew, N.J., Just, M.A., 2006. Sentence comprehension in autism: thinking in pictures with decreased functional connectivity. *Brain* 129 (Pt 9), 2484–2493.
- Kennedy, D.P., Courchesne, E., 2008. The intrinsic functional organization of the brain is altered in autism. *Neuroimage* 39 (4), 1877–1885.
- Kennedy, D.P., Redcay, E., Courchesne, E., 2006. Failing to deactivate: resting functional abnormalities in autism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (21), 8275–8280.
- Kennedy, D.P., Semendeferi, K., Courchesne, E., 2007. No reduction of spindle neuron number in fronto-insular cortex in autism. *Brain Cogn.* 64 (2), 124–129.
- King-Casas, B., Sharp, C., Lomax-Bream, L., Lohrenz, T., Fonagy, P., Montague, P.R., et al., 2008. The rupture and repair of cooperation in borderline personality disorder. *Science* 321 (5890), 806–810.
- Kleinhans, N.M., Richards, T., Sterling, L., Stegbauer, K.C., Mahurin, R., Johnson, L.C., et al., 2008. Abnormal functional connectivity in autism spectrum disorders during face processing. *Brain* 131 (Pt 4), 1000–1012.
- Koshino, H., Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Minshew, N.J., Just, M.A., 2008. fMRI investigation of working memory for faces in autism: visual coding and underconnectivity with frontal areas. *Cereb. Cortex* 18 (2), 289–300.
- Lerner, A., Bagic, A., Hanakawa, T., Boudreau, E.A., Pagan, F., Mari, Z., et al., 2009. Involvement of insula and cingulate cortices in control and suppression of natural urges. *Cereb. Cortex* 19 (1), 218–223.
- Mesulam, M.M., Mufson, E.J., 1982. Insula of the old world monkey. III. Efferent cortical output and comments on function. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 212 (1), 38–52.
- Mufson, E.J., Mesulam, M.M., 1982. Insula of the old world monkey. II. Afferent cortical input and comments on the claustrum. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 212 (1), 23–37.
- Muller, R.A., 2007. The study of autism as a distributed disorder. *Ment. Retard Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* 13 (1), 85–95.
- Mundy, P., 2003. Annotation: the neural basis of social impairments in autism: the role of the dorsal medial-frontal cortex and anterior cingulate system. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 44 (6), 793–809.
- Naqvi, N.H., Rudrauf, D., Damasio, H., Bechara, A., 2007. Damage to the insula disrupts addiction to cigarette smoking. *Science* 315 (5811), 531–534.
- Nimchinsky, E.A., Gilissen, E., Allman, J.M., Perl, D.P., Erwin, J.M., Hof, P.R., 1999. A neuronal morphologic type unique to humans and great apes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96 (9), 5268–5273.
- Oberman, L.M., Ramachandran, V.S., 2007. The simulating social mind: the role of the mirror neuron system and simulation in the social and communicative deficits of autism spectrum disorders. *Psychol. Bull.* 133 (2), 310–327.
- Paulus, M.P., Stein, M.B., 2006. An insular view of anxiety. *Biol. Psychiatry* 60 (4), 383–387.
- Pelphrey, K.A., Carter, E.J., 2008. Brain mechanisms for social perception: lessons from autism and typical development. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1145, 283–299.
- Schultz, R.T., 2005. Developmental deficits in social perception in autism: the role of the amygdala and fusiform face area. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 23 (2–3), 125–141.
- Seeley, W.W., Carlin, D.A., Allman, J.M., Macedo, M.N., Bush, C., Miller, B.L., et al., 2006. Early frontotemporal dementia targets neurons unique to apes and humans. *Ann. Neurol.* 60 (6), 660–667.
- Seeley, W.W., Crawford, R.K., Zhou, J., Miller, B.L., Greicius, M.D., 2009. Neurodegenerative diseases target large-scale human brain networks. *Neuron* 62 (1), 42–52.
- Seeley, W.W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A.F., Keller, J., Glover, G.H., Kenna, H., et al., 2007. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J. Neurosci.* 27 (9), 2349–2356.
- Shafritz, K.M., Dichter, G.S., Baranek, G.T., Belger, A., 2008. The neural circuitry mediating shifts in behavioral response and cognitive set in autism. *Biol. Psychiatry* 63 (10), 974–980.
- Silani, G., Bird, G., Brindley, R., Singer, T., Frith, C., Frith, U., 2008. Levels of emotional awareness and autism: an fMRI study. *Soc. Neurosci.* 3 (2), 97–112.
- Singer, T., 2006. The neuronal basis and ontogeny of empathy and mind reading: review of literature and implications for future research. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 30 (6), 855–863.
- Singer, T., Seymour, B., O'Doherty, J., Kaube, H., Dolan, R.J., Frith, C.D., 2004. Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science* 303 (5661), 1157–1162.
- Sokol, D.K., Edwards-Brown, M., 2004. Neuroimaging in autistic spectrum disorder (ASD). *J. Neuroimaging* 14 (1), 8–15.
- Sommer, I.E., Diederer, K.M., Blom, J.D., Willems, A., Kushan, L., Slotema, K., et al., 2008. Auditory verbal hallucinations predominantly activate the right inferior frontal area. *Brain* 131 (Pt 12), 3169–3177.
- Sridharan, D., Levitin, D.J., Menon, V., 2008. A critical role for the right fronto-insular cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105 (34), 12569–12574.
- Stark, D.E., Margulies, D.S., Shehzad, Z.E., Reiss, P., Kelly, A.M., Uddin, L.Q., et al., 2008. Regional variation in interhemispheric coordination of intrinsic hemodynamic fluctuations. *J. Neurosci.* 28 (51), 13754–13764.
- Stein, M.B., Simmons, A.N., Feinstein, J.S., Paulus, M.P., 2007. Increased amygdala and insula activation during emotion processing in anxiety-prone subjects. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 164 (2), 318–327.
- Toro, R., Fox, P.T., Paus, T., 2008. Functional coactivation map of the human brain. *Cereb. Cortex* 18 (11), 2553–2559.
- Uddin, L.Q., Kelly, A.M.C., Biswal, B.B., Xavier Castellanos, F., Milham, M.P., 2009. Functional connectivity of default mode network components: correlation, anticorrelation, and causality. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 30 (2), 625–637.
- Villalobos, M.E., Mizuno, A., Dahl, B.C., Kemmotsu, N., Muller, R.A., 2005. Reduced functional connectivity between V1 and inferior frontal cortex associated with visuomotor performance in autism. *Neuroimage* 25 (3), 916–925.
- Vincent, J.L., Snyder, A.Z., Fox, M.D., Shannon, B.J., Andrews, J.R., Raichle, M.E., et al., 2006. Coherent spontaneous activity identifies a hippocampal-parietal memory network. *J. Neurophysiol.* 96 (6), 3517–3531.
- Viskontas, I.V., Possin, K.L., Miller, B.L., 2007. Symptoms of frontotemporal dementia provide insights into orbitofrontal cortex function and social behavior. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1121, 528–545.
- Waterhouse, L., 2008. Autism overflows: increasing prevalence and proliferating theories. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 18 (4), 273–286.
- Williams, J.H., Whiten, A., Suddendorf, T., Perrett, D.I., 2001. Imitation, mirror neurons and autism. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 25 (4), 287–295.