The anterior insula in autism: Under-connected and under-examined
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ABSTRACT
Autism is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder of unknown etiology. While the past decade has witnessed a proliferation of neuroimaging studies of autism, theoretical approaches for understanding systems-level brain abnormalities remain poorly developed. We propose a novel anterior insula-based systems-level model for investigating the neural basis of autism, synthesizing recent advances in brain network functional connectivity with converging evidence from neuroimaging studies in autism. The anterior insula is involved in interoceptive, affective and empathic processes, and emerging evidence suggests it is part of a “salience network” integrating external sensory stimuli with internal states. Network analysis indicates that the anterior insula is uniquely positioned as a hub mediating interactions between large-scale networks involved in externally and internally oriented cognitive processing. A recent meta-analysis identifies the anterior insula as a consistent locus of hypofunction in autism. We suggest that dysfunctional anterior insula connectivity plays an important role in autism. Critical examination of these abnormalities from a systems neuroscience perspective should be a priority for further research on the neurobiology of autism.

1. Introduction
Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are developmental disorders characterized by social impairments, restricted interests, and repetitive and stereotyped behaviors, with an estimated incidence of 1:150 (Anon., 2007). An overwhelming number of theoretical accounts of autism have been offered, with relatively few attempts at synthesis across studies (Waterhouse, 2008). Despite considerable efforts to delineate precise brain functional and structural differences between individuals with ASD and typically developing individuals (Sokol and Edwards-Brown, 2004), very little is known regarding differences in large-scale brain network interactions that underlie the cognitive and behavioral symptoms of ASD. The field of autism research has been largely dominated by theories positing malfunction of individual brain regions in ASD, such as the amygdala (Adolphs et al., 2001; Baron-Cohen et al., 2000), superior temporal sulcus (STS) (Pelphrey and Carter, 2008), or fusiform gyrus (Schultz, 2005). At the other extreme, there are claims that ASD is a distributed disorder characterized by widespread abnormalities throughout the brain (Muller, 2007).
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conceptualizations of the brain basis of ASD have taken a systems-level approach, and proposed that ASD may be explained by abnormalities in the mirror neuron system (Oberman and Ramachandran, 2007; Williams et al., 2001), the default-mode network (Kennedy and Courchesne, 2008; Kennedy et al., 2006), or both (Iacoboni, 2006). These conceptualizations, however, have primarily focused on specific brain systems and have largely ignored the critical interactions between multiple distinct brain systems, which may be important for understanding the neurobiology of a complex neurodevelopmental disorder such as ASD.

Recent work in systems neuroscience has characterized several canonical brain networks that are identifiable in both the resting (Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007) and the active brain (Toro et al., 2008). Conceptualizing the brain as comprised of multiple, distinct, and interacting networks provides a new framework for understanding the complex symptomatology of ASD. Here we suggest that analysis of large-scale brain networks will provide a parsimonious account of the recent neuroimaging literature on ASD, and that the anterior insula (AI) is a brain region of particular interest in understanding this disorder. We discuss the rationale behind our approach, taking into account recent advances in the study of brain networks.

2. Brain under-connectivity in ASD

One of the earliest and most prominent theories of brain abnormalities underlying ASD is that the disorder is one of connectivity (Frith, 2004; Geschwind and Levitt, 2007). In post-mortem anatomical studies, Courchesne’s group observed that the brains of individuals with ASD showed hyper-connectivity within frontal lobe regions, and decreased long-range connectivity and reciprocal interactions with other cortical regions. His team proposed that excessive, disorganized, and inadequately selective connectivity within the frontal lobes leads to poorly synchronized connectivity between frontal cortex and other brain systems (Courchesne and Pierce, 2005b). Even before the widespread use of fMRI to study brain connectivity, correlations between regional cerebral metabolic rates for glucose determined by PET were used to provide a measure of functional associations between regions.

Horwitz et al. (1988) demonstrated two decades ago that individuals with ASD showed reduced correlations between the insula and fronto-parietal regions. Strong evidence for functional and structural under-connectivity in the autistic brain is available from studies utilizing a variety of methods (see Hughes, 2007 for review). Increasing evidence for abnormal brain connectivity in autism comes from studies using functional connectivity measures (Just et al., 2007; Kana et al., 2006). One study found reduced functional connectivity between primary visual cortex and the right inferior frontal gyrus in individuals with autism compared to controls (Villalobos et al., 2005), and another has shown decreased functional connectivity between frontal regions and the fusiform gyrus during a working memory task involving faces (Koshino et al., 2008). Another group has recently demonstrated abnormal functional connectivity in the limbic system during face processing in individuals with autism (Kleinans et al., 2008). These findings support the hypothesis that under-connectivity between specific brain regions is a characteristic feature of ASD. To date, however, few studies have examined functional connectivity within and between key large-scale canonical brain networks in autism (Cherkassky et al., 2006; Kennedy and Courchesne, 2008). The majority of published studies to date have examined connectivity of specific individual brain regions, without a broader theoretically driven systems-level approach.

We propose that a systems-level approach is critical for understanding the neurobiology of autism, and that the anterior insula is a key node in coordinating brain network interactions, due to its unique anatomy, location, function, and connectivity. The examination of this structure is an important yet neglected area of research in autism.

3. Functions and connectivity of anterior insula

The insular cortex, located deep within the lateral sulcus of the brain, is traditionally considered to be paralimbic (Mesulam and Mufson, 1982) or “limbic integration cortex” (Augustine, 1996). This characterization stems in large part from the patterns of structural connectivity of this region, which has effector projections to the amygdala, lateral orbital cortex, olfactory cortex, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and STS, and receives input from orbitofrontal, olfactory cortex, ACC and STS (Mesulam and Mufson, 1982; Mufson and Mesulam, 1982). The insula is a multifaceted brain region, participating in visceral sensory and somatic sensory roles, autonomic regulation of the gastrointestinal tract and heart, as well as a functioning as a motor association area (Augustine, 1996). While the posterior portion of the insula is thought to be more involved with representing stimuli intensities, the AI (Fig. 1) appears to track the feelings and perceptions associated with bodily states. Craig et al. (2000) have shown that while posterior insula activation correlates with actual changes in thermal intensity, right AI activation, tracks perceived thermal intensity. It has also been suggested that interoception, or the sense of the physiological condition of the entire body, constitutes the basis for subjective evaluation of one’s condition, and is implemented in the right AI (Craig, 2002). Craig has recently further hypothesized that the AI contains the anatomical substrate for the evolved capacity of humans to be aware of themselves, others, and the environment (Craig, 2009).

The insula has long been thought to play a role in the experience of emotion derived from information about bodily states. Pure autonomic failure (PAF) is an idiopathic disorder in which peripheral denervation disrupts autonomic responses. Critchley et al. (2001) used PET to demonstrate that patients with PAF show reduced activation in the right insula during performance of “stressor” tasks (e.g. mental arithmetic) compared to controls. These patients also exhibited subtle impairments in emotional responses, and identified with statements such as “I can no longer feel sad” and “I have lost my ability to feel emotional”. This data is in line with the theory that signals from the autonomic nervous system shape emotional experience (Damasio, 1996), and that the insula is a key brain region involved in this process. Critchley et al. (2004) have also reported that activity in the right AI predicts participants’ accuracy in a task requiring detection of one’s own heartbeat. Furthermore, they report that gray matter volume in the AI correlates with interoceptive accuracy and subjective ratings of visceral awareness. The final link comes from the finding that in autism, connectivity between the AI and the posterior cingulate cortex is reduced, and that this connectivity is associated with the severity of the disease.

![Fig. 1. Right anterior insula: the anterior insula is located within the lateral sulcus of the brain.](image_url)

4. Spindle neurons: unique location and function

The AI is among the few brain regions containing a special class of neurons thought to be unique to higher primates, known as Von Economo or “spindle” neurons. These neurons have been found in humans, bonobos, chimpanzees, gorillas, and orangutans, but in no other primate species examined (Nimchinsky et al., 1999). Spindle neurons are large projection neurons with a distinctive morphology, and are thought to be a relatively recent phylogenetic specialization (Allman et al., 2002). These cells appear in small numbers around the 35th week of gestation. At birth only about 15% of postnatal numbers are present, and adult numbers are typically attained by 4 years of age. While spindle cells are located in layer 5, which is typically an output layer, it is not known where they ultimately project (Allman et al., 2005). It is speculated that the function of these cells is to rapidly relay to other parts of the brain a signal derived from information processed within the AI. Interestingly, spindle neurons are 30% more numerous in the right hemisphere than the left (Allman et al., 2005).

It has previously been suggested that abnormal development of spindle neurons may cause the social disabilities characteristic of ASD (Frith; 2001; Mundy, 2003). Allman et al. (2005) proposed that the large size of these neurons may enable them to relay fast, intuitive assessments of complex social situations and that they are likely involved in social emotions, bonding, and intuitive responses involving uncertainty. This group was one of the first to propose that abnormal development of spindle neurons can lead to difficulty in evaluating social situations, a hallmark of ASD (Allman et al., 2005). It is noteworthy that vulnerability of spindle neurons is also thought to play a role in frontotemporal dementia (FTD), a disorder involving disruptions to the anterior cingulate, orbitofrontal and insula regions, and is associated with abnormalities in social interactions, emotion recognition, and empathy (Seeley et al., 2009; Viskontas et al., 2007). FTD has been associated with severe and selective spindle neuron loss, including a 74% reduction in spindle neurons compared with control subjects (Seeley et al., 2006). Thus, these neurons have been linked to abnormal social functioning in more than one disorder.

While the hypothesis that spindle neuron abnormality or deficiency is responsible for the social deficits in autism is intriguing, empirical support for this theory is lacking. In the only study to date, Kennedy et al. (2007) showed that there is no difference in spindle neuron number between autistic and normal brains. However, it is possible that while individuals with ASD do not differ from controls in overall spindle cell number, the short- and long-range connectivity of these neurons is disrupted. Courchesne hypothesized that the protracted developmental time course of spindle neurons may make them particularly susceptible to early developmental derailment in the autistic brain (Courchesne and Pierce, 2005a). No study has yet attempted to understand the connectivity of the region containing spindle neurons in the brains of individuals with ASD. We hypothesize that it is in connectivity, rather than cell number, that will prove to be the critical factor in understanding potential spindle neuron dysfunction in ASD.

5. Anterior insula as a network hub: role in switching between brain networks

Recent work using resting-state fMRI suggests that the human brain is intrinsically organized into distinct functional networks (Damoiseaux et al., 2005; Greicius et al., 2003). Resting-state functional connectivity enables the characterization of large-scale networks without contamination from cognitive tasks (Fox and Raichle, 2007; Greicius et al., 2009; Uddin et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2006). This framework has identified at least three canonical...
networks: (1) a central-executive network (CEN) comprised of the 
dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and posterior parietal cortex 
(PPC); (2) the default-mode network (DMN) including the 
ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) and posterior cingulate 
cortex (PCC); and (3) a salience network (SN) with key nodes in the 
AI and ACC (Fox et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007). The ACC has 
previously been shown to exhibit diminished responses in 
individuals with ASD during an interpersonal exchange game 
requiring reciprocal social interaction (Chiu et al., 2008), and 
hypoactivity in the ACC has been linked to deficits in response 
shifting and executive functioning (Shafritz et al., 2008). While the 
ACC and AI are often co-activated (Craig, 2009), the specific roles of 
the AI and ACC in ASD have not been established.

Evidence from brain network analyses suggests that the 
insula can be considered as part of a “salience network” 
which serves to integrate sensory data with visceral, autonomic, 
and hedonic information. Seeley et al. (2007) used region-of-
interest (ROI) and independent component analyses (ICA) of 
resting-state fMRI data to demonstrate the existence of this 
independent brain network comprised of the anterior insula, 
dorsal ACC, along with subcortical structures including the 
amygdala, substantia nigra/ventral tegmental area, and thalamus. 
They propose that the function of this salience network is to 
identify the need to orient attention, whether in response to 
internal and external stimuli in order to guide behavior. The right AI 
has also recently been demonstrated to aid in the coordination and 
evaluation of task performance across behavioral tasks with 
varying perceptual and response demands (Eckert et al., in press).

A recent study used Granger causality analyses to examine the 
directionality of influence of specific network nodes on other brain 
regions. Granger causality analyses (GCA) enable the detection of 
causal interactions between brain regions by assessing the extent 
to which signal changes in one brain region can predict signal 
changes in another brain region (Goebel et al., 2003). Sridharan 
et al. (2008) showed, across three independent datasets, that the 
right AI plays a critical and causal role in switching between two 
other networks (the CEN and the DMN) known to demonstrate 
competitive interactions during cognitive information processing. 
This study shows that the right AI is involved in switching between 
and interoceptive stimuli in order to guide behavior. The right AI 
activity temporally precedes activity in the other two extensively 
caracterized networks. It is suggested that the right AI, part of 
the previously described salience network, enables task-related 
information processing by initiating appropriate transient control 
signals to the networks mediating attentional, working memory, 
and higher order cognitive processes while disengaging the 
default-mode network (Sridharan et al., 2008). This new understand-
ing of the right AI as a critical node for initiating network 
switching provides key insight into the potential for profound 
deficits in cognitive functioning should AI integrity or connectivity 
be compromised. Indeed, AI hyperactivity has been implicated in 
neuroticism, defined as the tendency to experience negative 
emotional states, demonstrate greater right AI activation during 
decision-making, even when the outcome of the decision is certain 
(Feinstein et al., 2006). It seems that an appropriate level of AI 
activity is necessary to provide a signal to initiate brain 
responses to salient stimuli, but this signal can be over-active, 
in the case of anxiety, or under-active, as may be the case in ASD 
(Silani, 2008). In sum, the AI appears to be uniquely positioned to 
detect changes in bodily states and initiate motivated behaviors, 
which are key to interpersonal and social processes.

6. Anterior insula in ASD

The AI is a region that is critically involved in operations critical to 
social processing. While previous theories of ASD have focused on 
hypoactivity in regions such as the fusiform gyrus, superior temporal 
sulcus, or amygdala, the role of the AI is often overlooked. However, 
in a recent comprehensive meta-analysis of behavioral neuroimaging 
Studies of social processing in ASD, Di Martino et al. (2009) 
demonstrated that across a group of 24 studies examining various 
Aspects of social processing ranging from face processing to theory of 
mind, one of the regions consistently showing significant 
hypoactivity in ASD was the right anterior insula. This meta-analysis was not 
driven by current theories of autism, and thus provides an unbiased 
survey of the current literature. The identification of the AI as a region 
of consistent hypoactivity in ASD represents the first critical step in 
designing future experiments to more clearly elucidate the specific 
functional abnormalities within the insula that may contribute to the 
behavioral and cognitive symptoms of ASD.

Critically, of the studies reviewed, those reporting hypoactivity 
of the AI in autism utilized tasks commonly employed to assess 
social abilities, including viewing emotional facial expressions 
(Hubl et al., 2003) and incongruent eye gaze (Dichter and Belger, 
2007). Emotional awareness tasks (Silani, 2008) and other tasks 
involving facial processing (Di Martino et al., 2009) were also 
associated with hypoactivity of the AI in ASD.


The study of brain connectivity, while previously only 
accessible by post-mortem examination of brain tissue, has been 
aided greatly in recent years by the development of novel methods 
to analyze fMRI data. Indeed, such studies now constitute quite a 
large percentage of the neuroimaging literature on ASD. To date, 
there have been reports of evidence for reduced functional 
connectivity between regions critical for social processing in 
ASD, among several others, as previously reviewed. However, 
functional and structural connectivity of the AI in ASD is still poorly 
understood, and most theoretical approaches in understanding the 
disorder have ignored this brain structure.

As we have discussed, the AI serves an integral function with 
respect to representing and evaluating salient stimuli, and is 
uniquely positioned as a hub mediating interactions between 
large-scale brain networks involved in attentional and self-
directed processes. Just as the insula has previously been shown 
to mediate interactions between internal bodily states (intercept-
onceptive/autonomic nervous system) and the outward expression of 
emotion, it seems to be uniquely positioned as a hub mediating 
interactions between systems dedicated to externally oriented 
attention (ECN) and internally oriented cognitive processing 
(DMN). The right AI region has recently been shown to 
demonstrate hypoactivity in individuals with ASD, across a wide 
variety of social cognitive task paradigms (Di Martino et al., 2009). 
We suspect that this hypoactivity may be due to a disconnect 
between the anterior insula and the sensory and limbic structures 
that project to it, leading to a reduction in “salience detection” and 
subsequent mobilization of attentional resources necessary for 
guiding appropriate social behavior (Fig. 2). If in the typically 
developing brain, the AI functions to integrate inputs from 
multiple sources to initiate switches between the DMN and the 
CEN, we propose that in ASD this critical system is impaired, 
leading to the social dysfunctions characteristic of the disorder. 
Individuals with ASD demonstrate a lack of motivation for 
orienting to social cues (Charman et al., 1998), which may be
due to the fact that they do not find such stimuli to be rewarding (Dawson et al., 1998). This may explain the hypoactivity in the AI, which seems to be specific to studies of social cognition, and not other non-social processes such as cognitive control and working memory (Di Martino et al., 2009).

Thus, we conclude that integrity, function, and connectivity of the AI in ASD warrant further investigation. A systems neuroscience approach taking into account advances in network analysis of brain function, as reviewed above, should be a priority for future studies aimed at understanding the neurobiological basis of ASD. We suggest that the field of autism research may benefit from future research efforts targeting the following questions: (1) What is the nature of functional and structural AI deficits in ASD? (2) Do functional deficits arise primarily from weak inputs to the AI, or inefficient network switching mechanisms involving the AI? (3) How is the development of functional and structural connectivity of the AI mediated in individuals with ASD? (4) In what context is activity within right or left AI asymmetrically compromised in individuals with ASD? (5) Can training to attend to social stimuli “normalize” activity within the AI and associated networks? We believe that future research targeting these questions will reveal important insights into the systems-level brain abnormalities underlying ASD and provide a novel theoretical framework for subsequent empirical work in the field.
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Fig. 2. Model of AI function: the anterior insula is part of a salience network which serves to initiate dynamic switches between the DMN and CEN. In our model of AI dysfunction in autism, limbic and sensory inputs are inadequately processed by the AI during social cognition, leading to disruption of the AI’s role in coordination of these large-scale brain networks.
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